arXiv:0801.2444v5 [math.AT] 26 Mar 2009

The integral cohomology of a complete flag manifold G/T

Haibao Duan and Xuezhi Zhao
Institute of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University

March 26, 2009

Abstract

Let G be an exceptional Lie group with a maximal torus $T \subset G$. We present the integral cohomology ring $H^*(G/T)$ by a minimal set of Schubert classes on G/T.

This completes the program of determining the integral cohomology of all complete flag manifolds G/T in the context of Schubert calculus. The results have been applied in [DZ_3] to construct the integral cohomology of a simple Lie group G in terms of Schubert classes on G/T; and in [DZ_4] to determine the Steenrod operations in exceptional Lie groups.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 57T15 14M15.

Email addresses: dhb@math.ac.cn; zhaoxve@mail.cnu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

All compact, 1–connected and simple Lie groups fall into four infinite sequences of the classical groups:

$$A_n = SU(n), B_n = Spin(2n+1), C_n = Sp(n), D_n = Spin(2n),$$

as well as the five exceptional ones: G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 [Wh, p.674]. Let G be one of these groups with a fixed maximal torus T. In this paper we present the rings $H^*(G/T)$ for all exceptional G by a minimal set of Schubert classes on G/T in Theorems 1–5. Based on these presentations useful properties of the rings $H^*(G/T)$ are summarized in Theorem 6 in §5, which will serve as the starting point in our unified construction for the cohomology of the corresponding G [DZ_3, DZ_4].

We introduce some notations useful in simplifying these presentations. Given n indeterminacies $t_1, \cdots, t_n$ we set

(1.1) $$1 + e_1 + \cdots + e_n = \prod_{1 \le i \le n}(1 + t_i),$$

That is, e_r is the r^{th} elementary symmetric functions in $t_1, \cdots, t_n$.

Definition 1. Let G be an exceptional Lie group with rank n, and let $\Omega = \{\omega_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n} \subset H^2(G/T)$ be a set of *fundamental dominant weights*, so ordered as the vertices in the Dynkin diagram of G [Hu, p.58].

For $G = F_4$ let $c_r(F_4) \in H^{2r}(F_4/T)$, $1 \leq r \leq 6$, be the polynomial obtained from $e_r(t_1, \cdots, t_6)$ by letting

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_1 = \omega_4; \quad t_2 = \omega_3 - \omega_4; \quad t_3 = \omega_2 - \omega_3;\\
&t_4 = \omega_1 - \omega_2 + \omega_3; \quad t_5 = \omega_1 - \omega_3 + \omega_4; \quad t_6 = \omega_1 - \omega_4.
\end{aligned}$$

For $G = E_n$ with $n = 6, 7, 8$, let $c_r(E_n) \in H^{2r}(E_n/T)$, $1 \leq r \leq n$, be the polynomial obtained from $e_r(t_1, \cdots, t_n)$ by letting

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_1 = \omega_n; \quad t_2 = \omega_{n-1} - \omega_n; \quad \cdots; t_{n-3} = \omega_4 - \omega_5;\\
&t_{n-2} = \omega_3 - \omega_4 + \omega_2; \quad t_{n-1} = \omega_1 - \omega_3 + \omega_2; \quad t_n = -\omega_1 + \omega_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. For each exceptional G we define, in terms of the *Weyl coordinates for Schubert classes* (see in **2.4**), the *special Schubert classes* on G/T together with their abbreviations y_i's (with $\deg y_i = 2i$) in the table below.

The special Schubert classes on G/T and their abbreviations

y_i	G_2/T	F_4/T	$E_n/T,\ n = 6, 7, 8$
y_3	$\sigma_{[1,2,1]}$	$\sigma_{[3,2,1]}$	$\sigma_{[5,4,2]}$, $n = 6, 7, 8$
y_4		$\sigma_{[4,3,2,1]}$	$\sigma_{[6,5,4,2]}$, $n = 6, 7, 8$
y_5			$\sigma_{[7,6,5,4,2]}$, $n = 7, 8$
y_6			$\sigma_{[1,3,6,5,4,2]}$, $n = 8$
y_9			$\sigma_{[1,5,4,3,7,6,5,4,2]}$, $n = 7, 8$
y_{10}			$\sigma_{[1,6,5,4,3,7,6,5,4,2]}$, $n = 8$
y_{15}			$\sigma_{[5,4,2,3,1,6,5,4,3,8,7,6,5,4,2]}$, $n = 8$

Since the set $\Omega = \{\omega_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ of fundamental dominant weights consists of all Schubert classes on G/T in dimension 2 that also forms a basis for $H^2(G/T)$ [DZZ], any subset of Schubert classes on G/T that generates $H^*(G/T)$ multiplicatively must contain Ω. Granted with the set Ω, the special Schubert classes y_i's on G/T in Definition 2, and with the polynomials $c_k(G)$ in Definition 1, our main results are stated below.

Theorem 1. *$H^*(G_2/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \omega_2, y_3]/\langle g_2, r_3, r_6\rangle$, where*

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_2 = 3\omega_1^2 - 3\omega_1\omega_2 + \omega_2^2;\\
&r_3 = 2y_3 - \omega_1^3;\\
&r_6 = y_3^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *$H^*(F_4/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, y_3, y_4]/\langle g_2, g_4, r_3, r_6, r_8, r_{12}\rangle$, where with $c_k = c_k(F_4)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_2 = c_2 - 4\omega_1^2;\\
&g_4 = 3y_4 + 2\omega_1 y_3 - c_4;\\
&r_3 = 2y_3 - \omega_1^3;\\
&r_6 = y_3^2 + 2c_6 - 3\omega_1^2 y_4;\\
&r_8 = y_4(c_4 - 2\omega_1 y_3) - \omega_1^2 c_6;\\
&r_{12} = y_4^3 - c_6^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. $H^*(E_6/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_6, y_3, y_4]/\langle g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, r_6, r_8, r_9, r_{12}\rangle$, *where, with* $c_k = c_k(E_6)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_2 = 4\omega_2^2 - c_2;\\
&g_3 = 2y_3 + 2\omega_2^3 - c_3;\\
&g_4 = 3y_4 + \omega_2^4 - c_4;\\
&g_5 = 2\omega_2^2 y_3 - \omega_2 c_4 + c_5;\\
&r_6 = y_3^2 - \omega_2 c_5 + 2c_6;\\
&r_8 = y_4(c_4 - 2\omega_1 y_3) - 2c_5 y_3 - \omega_2^2 c_6 + \omega_2^3 c_5\\
&r_9 = 2y_3 c_6 - \omega_2^3 c_6;\\
&r_{12} = y_4^3 - c_6^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. $H^*(E_7/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_7, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_9]/\langle g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, r_i\rangle$, *where* $i \in \{6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 18\}$, *and where with* $c_k = c_k(E_7)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_2 = 4\omega_2^2 - c_2;\\
&g_3 = 2y_3 + 2\omega_2^3 - c_3;\\
&g_4 = 3y_4 + \omega_2^4 - c_4;\\
&g_5 = 2y_5 - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2 c_4 - c_5;\\
&r_6 = y_3^2 - \omega_2 c_5 + 2c_6;\\
&r_8 = y_4(c_4 - \omega_2^4) - \omega_2 c_4 y_3 - y_3 c_5 + 2\omega_2 c_7 - 5\omega_2^2 c_6 + 3\omega_2^3 c_5;\\
&r_9 = 2y_9 + 2\omega_2^2 y_3 y_4 - \omega_2 c_4 y_4 + y_4 c_5 - 2y_3 c_6 - \omega_2^2 c_7 + \omega_2^3 c_6\\
&r_{10} = y_5^2 - 2y_3 c_7 + \omega_2^3 c_7;\\
&r_{12} = y_4^3 - 4y_5 c_7 - \omega_2^2 y_3 c_7 + 3\omega_2 y_4 c_7 + c_5 c_7 - c_6^2 - y_3 c_3 c_6 + 3\omega_2^3 y_3 c_6\\
&\qquad +2\omega_2 y_5 c_6\\
&r_{14} = c_7^2 + 2\omega_2^2 y_3 y_4 y_5 - \omega_2 c_4 y_4 y_5 + y_4 y_5 c_5 - 2y_3 y_5 c_6 - \omega_2^2 y_5 c_7 + \omega_2^3 y_5 c_6\\
&\qquad +2y_3 y_4 c_7 - \omega_2^3 y_4 c_7\\
&r_{18} = y_9^2 - 6y_5 c_6 c_7 - y_4 c_7^2 - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 y_4 y_9 + \omega_2 y_4 y_9 c_4 - y_4 y_9 c_5 - 10\omega_2 y_3 c_7^2\\
&\qquad +5\omega_2^4 c_7^2 - 2\omega_2^3 y_3 y_5 c_7 + 4\omega_2 y_5 c_5 c_7.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. $H^*(E_8/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_8, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_9, y_{10}, y_{15}]/\langle g_i, r_j\rangle$, *where*
$i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $j \in \{8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 24, 30\}$,
and where, with $c_k = c_k(E_8)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_2 = 4\omega_2^2 - c_2;\\
&g_3 = 2y_3 + 2\omega_2^3 - c_3;\\
&g_4 = 3y_4 + \omega_2^4 - c_4;\\
&g_5 = 2y_5 - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2 c_4 - c_5;\\
&g_6 = 5y_6 + 2y_3^2 + 10\omega_2 y_5 - 2\omega_2 c_5 - c_6;\\
&r_8 = 3c_8 - 3y_4^2 - 2y_3 y_5 + 2y_3 c_5 - 2\omega_2 c_7 + \omega_2^2 c_6 - \omega_2^3 c_5;\\
&r_9 = 2y_9 + 2y_4 y_5 - 2y_3 y_6 - 4\omega_2 y_3 y_5 + \omega_2 c_8 - \omega_2^2 c_7 + \omega_2^3 c_6;\\
&r_{10} = 3y_{10} - 2y_5^2 - 2y_3 c_7 - 3y_4 y_6 + 3y_4 c_6 - 6\omega_2 y_4 y_5 - \omega_2^2 c_8 + \omega_2^3 c_7;
\end{aligned}$$

$$r_{12} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = y_4^3 - 2c_4c_8 - c_5c_7 + 3c_6y_6 + c_3^2y_6 + c_3y_3^3;$$
$$r_{14} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = c_7^2 + c_4y_{10} - c_3^2c_8 - c_4y_4y_6;$$
$$\begin{aligned} r_{15} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = {} & 2y_{15} + c_5y_{10} + 5c_7c_8 - c_8y_3y_4 - 2c_3c_5c_7 + c_3c_6y_6 + 2c_5y_4y_6 \\ & -c_3y_3^2y_6 + c_4y_3y_4^2 + c_3y_4^3; \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} r_{18} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = {} & y_9^2 - 6y_{10}y_8 - 4y_9y_6y_3 + 4y_9y_5y_4 + 5y_8y_4y_3^2 + y_7^2y_4 - 3y_7y_4^2y_3 \\ & +5y_6^3 + 3y_6^2y_3^2 + 10y_6y_5y_4y_3 + y_6y_4^3 + 6y_5y_4y_3^3; \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} r_{20} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = {} & (y_{10} + 4y_4y_6 - y_5^2 + 2y_3^2y_4)^2 - y_8(6y_3y_9 + 3y_4y_8 - y_5y_7 \\ & +14y_3^2y_6 + 8y_3^4); \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} r_{24} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = {} & 5(y_3^2 + 2y_6)^4 - y_8(5y_7y_9 + 5y_8^2 - 4y_3y_5y_8 + 2y_3^3y_7 + 20y_5^2y_6 \\ & +10y_3^2y_4y_6 + 18y_3y_4^2y_5 + 4y_3^4y_4); \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} r_{30} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = {} & (y_3^2 + 2y_6)^5 + (y_{10} + 4y_6y_4 - y_5^2 + 2y_4y_3^2)^3 + (y_{15} + y_{10}y_5 \\ & +y_9y_3^2 + 2y_8y_7 - 4y_7y_5y_3 + 5y_6^2y_3 + 2y_6y_5y_4 + 2y_5y_4y_3^2 + y_4^3y_3)^2 \\ & +y_8(y_{15}y_7 + 8y_{15}y_4y_3 - 9y_{10}y_8y_4 - 10y_{10}y_6y_3^2 - 4y_{10}y_4^3 - 2y_{10}y_3^4 \\ & +y_9y_7y_3^2 + 6y_9y_5y_4^2 + 8y_9y_4y_3^3 - 2y_8^2y_6 - y_8^2y_3^2 + 44y_8y_7^2 + 7y_8y_6y_5y_3 \\ & -49y_8y_5^2y_4 + 7y_8y_5y_3^3 + 25y_7^2y_5y_3 - 5y_7y_6^2y_3 + 10y_7y_6y_5y_4 \\ & -12y_7y_5y_4y_3^2 - 30y_7y_4^3y_3 - 10y_6^3y_4 + 5y_6^2y_5^2 + 12y_5^3y_4y_3 + 3y_5y_4^2y_3^3 \\ & +y_4^4y_3^2 + 4y_4y_3^6). \end{aligned}$$

Several remarks on these results are in order.

i) It can be shown that, in Theorems 1–5, the ring $H^*(G/T)$ is presented by a minimal set of generators subject to relations that are algebraically independent;

ii) In each case the relations are divided into two groups, denoted respectively by g_i's and r_j's with $\deg g_i = 2i$, $\deg r_j = 2j$, as they are derived respectively from invariant theory and the intersection theory on certain Grassmannians.

iii) For simplicity, the polynomials r_k with $k \geq 12$ in Theorem 5 are presented after modeling the idea $\langle \omega_2 \rangle$ generated by ω_2. Fortunately, these simplifications does not effect the applications [DZ$_3$, DZ$_4$] in the sequel.

The paper is arranged as follows. §2 recalls relevant notations and results developed in [DZ$_1$, DZ$_2$]. These are applied in §3 to show the validity of the relations of the type g_k in Theorems 2–5. With these preliminaries, Theorems 1–5 are established in §4 in a unified pattern.

The relations in Theorems 1–5 may be seen as detailed. However, they encode fundamental information on the cohomology of the corresponding G. Indeed, from these polynomials in the Schubert classes one can

a) construct explicit cocycle classes realizing the integral cohomology $H^*(G)$ [DZ$_3$];

b) deduce explicit expressions for a set of generating polynomials for the kernel of the Borel's characteristic in a characteristic p, and determine the structure of $H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as an module over the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_p$ [DZ$_4$].

Theorem 6 in §5 (resp. Theorem 7 in §6) is meant to summarize common properties occurring in the Schubert presentations of $H^*(G/T)$ (resp. of $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{F}_p)$) so that the tasks in a) and b) can be implemented uniformly for all G and prime p. Finally, §7 is created to record the intermediate data emerging from the calculations in this paper.

2 Preliminaries

This work is as a sequel to [DZ$_1$, DZ$_2$], where methods computing the integral cohomology of flag manifolds in the context of Schubert calculus were developed, and as applications, the cohomologies of certain Grassmannians associated to the exceptional Lie groups were determined. This has raised the question whether our approach remains effective for flag varieties of more general types. The present work serves also the purpose to dispel the uncertainty.

In this section we recall from [DZ$_1$, DZ$_2$] the preliminary notations and results requested by establishing Theorems 1–5.

2.1. Fix a maximal torus T in G, and equip the Lie algebra $L(G)$ with an inner product $(,)$ so that the adjoint representation acts as isometries of $L(G)$. Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n\} \subset L(T)$ be the set of fundamental dominant weights relative to a set $\Phi = \{\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n\} \subset L(T)$ of simple roots of G, so ordered as the root–vertices in the Dynkin diagram given in [Hu, p.58].

2.2. Flag manifolds and generalized Grassmannians. Let $\exp : L(G) \to G$ be the exponential map. For a subset $K \subseteq \{1, \cdots, n\}$ the centralizer P_K of the 1–parameter subgroup $\{\exp(tb) \in G \mid b = \sum_{i\in K} \omega_i, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is called the *parabolic subgroup* of G corresponding to K. The homogeneous space G/P_K is a smooth algebraic variety, called a *flag manifold*.

If $K = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ we have $P_K = T$ and G/P_K is the complete flag manifold G/T. If $K = \{i\} \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ is a singleton, $G/P_{\{i\}}$ is called the *Grassmannian of G corresponding to the weight ω_i* ([DZ$_2$]). In the table below we single out four Grassmannians that are relevant to our purpose.

(2.1)

G	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
K	$\{1\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{2\}$
$P_{\{i\}}$	$C_3 \cdot S^1$	$A_6 \cdot S^1$	$A_7 \cdot S^1$	$A_8 \cdot S^1$

2.3. The Weyl group and the *Decomposition*. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $L_i \subset L(T)$ be the hyperplane perpendicular to the i^{th}–root β_i and through the origin, and let $\sigma_i \in Aut(L(T))$ be the reflection in L_i. The *Weyl group* of G is the subgroup $W(G)$ of $Aut(L(T))$ generated by σ_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For a subset $K \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ the Weyl group $W(P_K)$ is the subgroup of $W(G)$ generated by $\{\sigma_j \mid j \notin K\}$. Resorting to the *length function* $l : W(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ ([BGG]) one may embed the set $W(P_K; G)$ of left cosets of $W(P_K)$ in $W(G)$ as the subset of $W(G)$ ([BGG, 5.1])

(2.2) $W(P_K; G) = \{w \in W(G) \mid l(w_1) \geq l(w),\ w_1 \in wW(P_K)\}$.

For $r \geq 1$ we set $W^r(P_K; G) = \{w \in W(P_K; G) \mid l(w) = r\}$.

By (2.2) each $w \in W^r(P_K; G)$ admits a unique decomposition in the form of

(2.3) $w = \sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_r},\ 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_r \leq n,$

called the *minimized decomposition* of w and written $w =: \sigma_{[i_1,\cdots,i_r]}$, such that with respect to the lexicographical order on all the r–tuples $J = (j_1, \cdots, j_r)$ with $w = \sigma_{j_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{j_r}$, $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_r)$ is the minimum one. By presenting elements in $W^r(P_K; G)$ in terms of their minimized decomposition as $w = \sigma_{[I]}$, the set $W^r(P_K; G)$ has an order given by $\sigma_{[I]} < \sigma_{[J]}$ if $I < J$. Consequently, it admits the presentation

(2.4) $W^r(P_K;G)=\{w_{r,i}\mid 1\leq i\leq\beta(r)\}$, $\beta(r)=:|W^r(P_K;G)|$.

in which $w_{r,i}$ is the i^{th} element in $W^r(P_K;G)$.

In [DZ$_1$] a program entitled "*Decomposition*" was composed, whose function is summarized below:

> **Algorithm:** *Decomposition.*
>
> **Input:** *The Cartan matrix* $C=(c_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *of* G*, and a subset* $K\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}$.
>
> **Output:** *The set* $W(P_K;G)$ *being presented by the minimized decompositions for its elements, together with the indexing system (2.4) imposed by the decompositions.*

2.4. Schubert varieties and Basis theorem. For each $1\leq i\leq n$ let $K_i\subset G$ be the centralizer of $\exp(L_i)$. For an element $w\in W(P_K;G)$ with minimized decomposition $w=\sigma_{[i_1,\cdots,i_r]}$, the *Schubert variety* X_w on G/P_K associated to w is the image of the composed map

$$K_{i_1}\times\cdots\times K_{i_r}\to G\xrightarrow{p}G/P_K\ \text{ by }(k_1,\cdots,k_r)\to p(k_1\cdot\cdots\cdot k_r),\tag{2.5}$$

where p is the projection, and where the product $\cdot$ takes place in G. Since

(2.6) *the union* $\bigcup_{w\in W(P_K;G)}X_w$ *dominates* G/P_K *by a cell complex with* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}X_w=2l(w)$ ([BGG]),

the *Schubert class* $s_w\in H^{2l(w)}(G/P_K)$ can be defined as the cocycle class Kronecker dual to the fundamental cycle $[X_u]$ as

$$\langle s_w,[X_u]\rangle=\delta_{w,u},\ w,u\in W(P_K;G).$$

(2.6) implies that ([BGG, §5])

Lemma 1 (**Basis theorem**). *The set of Schubert classes* $\{s_w\mid w\in W(P_K;G)\}$ *constitutes an additive basis for the graded group* $H^*(G/P_K)$.

To emphasize the role that the minimized decompositions act in the geometric construction (2.5) of the Schubert varieties, we call $\sigma_{[I]}$ the *Weyl coordinate* of s_w, $w=\sigma_{[I]}$. Referring to the index system (2.4) on $W^r(P_K;G)$ we use $s_{r,i}$ to simplify $s_{w_{r,i}}$, and call it *the i^{th} Schubert class on G/P_K in degree r.*

2.5. The *L-R coefficients.* Let f be a polynomial of homogeneous degree r in the Schubert basis $\{s_w\mid w\in W(P_K;G)\}$. By considering f as an element in $H^{2r}(G/P_K)$ and by the basis theorem, one has a unique expression

$$f=\textstyle\sum_{w\in W^r(P_k;G)}a_w(f)s_w,\,a_w(f)\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

The program "*L-R Coefficients*" compiled in [DZ$_1$] has the following function.

> **Algorithm.** *L-R coefficients.*
>
> **Input:** *A polynomial* f *in Schubert classes on* G/P_K*, and an element* $w\in W(P_K;G)$ *given by its minimized decomposition.*
>
> **Output:** $a_w(f)\in\mathbb{Z}$.

2.6. The *Giambelli Polynomials* Let $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ be a minimal set of Schubert classes on G/P_K that generates the ring $H^*(G/P_K)$ multiplicatively. In view of the surjective ring map $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n] \to H^*(G/P_K)$ induced by the inclusion $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\} \subset H^*(G/P_K)$ and by the basis theorem, every Schubert class $s_w \in H^*(G/P_K)$, $w \in W^r(P_k; G)$, can be expressed as a polynomial $\mathcal{G}_w$ in $y_1, \cdots, y_n$. Such an expression is called a *Giambelli polynomial* of s_w with respect to $y_1, \cdots, y_n$. The program "*Giambelli polynomials*" compiled in [DZ$_2$, **3.3**] has the following function.

Algorithm: *Giambelli polynomials*

Input: *A minimal set* $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}$ *of Schubert classes on* G/P_K *that generates the ring* $H^*(G/P_K)$*; and an integer* $r > 0$.

Output: *Giambelli polynomials* $\mathcal{G}_w(y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ *for all* $w \in W^r(P_K; G)$.

2.7. The cohomologies of generalized Grassmannians Historically, the cohomology of the ordinary Grassmannian $G_{n,k} = U(n)/U(k) \times U(n-k)$ has a well known presentation as a quotient ring in the *special Schubert classes* on $G_{n,k}$, that constitutes one of the foundations for many important computations in $G_{n,k}$ ([GH, Hi]). Generalizing this idea method to find the presentation of the integral cohomology of a generalized Grassmannian $G/P_{\{i\}}$ by a minimal set of Schubert classes on $G/P_{\{i\}}$, called the *special Schubert classes* on $G/P_{\{i\}}$, have been developed in [DZ$_2$]. For the four Grassmannians in (2.1) the results obtained are listed in (2.7)–(2.10) below, where (2.7) an (2.8) correspond respectively to Theorems 2 and 3 in [DZ$_2$], and where (2.9) and (2.10) are obtained by the same method.

(2.7) Let y_3, y_4 be the Schubert classes on $F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1$ whose Weyl coordinates are the same as that given in Table A for F_4/T, and let y_6 be the Schubert class on $F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1$ with Weyl coordinate $\sigma_{[3,2,4,3,2,1]}$.

Then $H^*(F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, y_3, y_4, y_6]/\langle r_3, r_6, r_8, r_{12}\rangle$, where

$r_3 = 2y_3 - \omega_1^3$;

$r_6 = 2y_6 + y_3^2 - 3\omega_1^2 y_4$;

$r_8 = 3y_4^2 - \omega_1^2 y_6$;

$r_{12} = y_6^2 - y_4^3$.

(2.8) Let y_3, y_4 be the Schubert classes on $E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$ whose Weyl coordinates are the same as that given in Table A for E_6/T, and let y_6 be the Schubert class on $E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$ with Weyl coordinate $\sigma_{[1,3,6,5,4,2]}$. We set

$\mathcal{S}(6) = \{3, 4, 6\}; \qquad \mathcal{R}(6) = \{6, 8, 9, 12\}$

Then $H^*(E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_2, y_i]_{i \in \mathcal{S}(6)}/\langle r_j\rangle_{j \in \mathcal{R}(6)}$, where

$r_6 = 2y_6 + y_3^2 - 3\omega_2^2 y_4 + 2\omega_2^3 y_3 - \omega_2^6$;

$r_8 = 3y_4^2 - 6\omega_2 y_3 y_4 + \omega_2^2 y_6 + 5\omega_2^2 y_3^2 - 2\omega_2^5 y_3$;

$r_9 = 2y_3 y_6 - \omega_2^3 y_6$;

$r_{12} = y_6^2 - y_4^3$.

(2.9) Let $y_3, y_4\ y_5, y_9$ be the Schubert classes on $E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1$ whose Weyl coordinates are the same as that given in Table A for E_7/T; and let y_6, y_7 be the Schubert class on $E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1$ with Weyl coordinates $\sigma_{[1,3,6,5,4,2]}$, $\sigma_{[1,3,7,6,5,4,2]}$ respectively. We set

$$\mathcal{S}(7) = \{3,4,5,6,7,9\}; \qquad \mathcal{R}(7) = \{6,8,9,10,12,14,18\}$$

Then $H^*(E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_2, y_i]_{i \in \mathcal{S}(7)} / \langle r_j \rangle_{j \in \mathcal{R}(7)}$, where

$r_6 = 2y_6 + y_3^2 + 2\omega_2 y_5 - 3\omega_2^2 y_4 + 2\omega_2^3 y_3 - \omega_2^6;$

$r_8 = 3y_4^2 - 2y_3y_5 + 2\omega_2 y_7 - 6\omega_2 y_3 y_4 + \omega_2^2 y_6 + 5\omega_2^2 y_3^2 + 2\omega_2^3 y_5 - 2\omega_2^5 y_3;$

$r_9 = 2y_9 + 2y_4y_5 - 2y_3y_6 - 4\omega_2 y_3 y_5 - \omega_2^2 y_7 + \omega_2^3 y_6 + 2\omega_2^4 y_5;$

$r_{10} = y_5^2 - 2y_3y_7 + \omega_2^3 y_7;$

$r_{12} = y_6^2 + 2y_5y_7 - y_4^3 + 2y_3y_9 + 2y_3y_4y_5 + 2\omega_2 y_5 y_6 - 6\omega_2 y_4 y_7 + \omega_2^2 y_5^2;$

$r_{14} = y_7^2 - 2y_5y_9 + y_4y_5^2;$

$r_{18} = y_9^2 + 2y_5y_6y_7 - y_4y_7^2 - 2y_4y_5y_9 + 2y_3y_5^3 - \omega_2 y_5^2 y_7.$

(2.10) Let $y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_9, y_{10}, y_{15}$ be the Schubert classes on $E_8/A_8 \cdot S^1$ whose Weyl coordinates are the same as that given in Table A for E_8/T; and let y_7, y_8 be the Schubert class on $E_8/A_8 \cdot S^1$ with Weyl coordinates $\sigma_{[1,3,7,6,5,4,2]}$, $\sigma_{[1,3,8,7,6,5,4,2]}$ respectively. We set

$\mathcal{S}(8) = \{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,15\}; \mathcal{R}(8) = \{8,9,10,12,14,15,18,20,24,30\}$

Then $H^*(E_8/A_8 \cdot S^1) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_2, y_i]_{i \in \mathcal{S}(8)} / \langle r_j \rangle_{j \in \mathcal{R}(8)}$, where

$r_8 = 3y_8 - 3y_4^2 + 2y_3y_5 - 2\omega_2 y_7 + 6\omega_2 y_3 y_4 - 3\omega_2^2 y_6 - 6\omega_2^2 y_3^2 - 4\omega_2^3 y_5$
$\quad + 3\omega_2^4 y_4 + \omega_2^8;$

$r_9 = 2y_9 + 2y_4y_5 - 2y_3y_6 + \omega_2 y_8 - 4\omega_2 y_3 y_5 - \omega_2^2 y_7 + \omega_2^3 y_6 + 2\omega_2^4 y_5;$

$r_{10} = 3y_{10} - 2y_5^2 - 2y_3y_7 + 12y_4y_6 + 6y_3^2y_4 + 4\omega_2 y_9 - 12\omega_2 y_3 y_6 + 16\omega_2 y_4 y_5$
$\quad - 4\omega_2 y_3^3 + 7\omega_2^2 y_8 - 12\omega_2^2 y_3 y_5 - 24\omega_2^2 y_4^2 - 5\omega_2^3 y_7 + 36\omega_2^3 y_3 y_4 - 18\omega_2^4 y_3^2$
$\quad - 6\omega_2^6 y_4 + 8\omega_2^7 y_3;$

$r_{12} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = y_4^3 - 6y_8y_4 - 2y_7y_5 + 15y_6^2 + 10y_6y_3^2 + 2y_3^4;$

$r_{14} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = y_7^2 + 3y_{10}y_4 - 4y_8y_3^2 - 3y_6y_4^2;$

$r_{15} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = 2y_{15} + 2y_{10}y_5 + 5y_8y_7 - y_8y_4y_3 - 8y_7y_5y_3 + 10y_6^2y_3 + 4y_6y_5y_4$
$\quad + 2y_6y_3^3 + 5y_4^3y_3;$

$r_{18} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = y_9^2 - 6y_{10}y_8 - 4y_9y_6y_3 + 4y_9y_5y_4 + 5y_8y_4y_3^2 + y_7^2y_4 - 3y_7y_4^2y_3$
$\quad + 5y_6^3 + 3y_6^2y_3^2 + 10y_6y_5y_4y_3 + y_6y_4^3 + 6y_5y_4y_3^3$

$r_{20} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = (y_{10} + 4y_4y_6 - y_5^2 + 2y_3^2y_4)^2 - y_8(6y_3y_9 + 3y_4y_8 - y_5y_7$
$\quad + 14y_3^2y_6 + 8y_3^4);$

$r_{24} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = 5(y_3^2 + 2y_6)^4 - y_8(5y_7y_9 + 5y_8^2 - 4y_3y_5y_8 + 2y_3^3y_7 + 20y_5^2y_6$
$\quad + 10y_3^2y_4y_6 + 18y_3y_4^2y_5 + 4y_3^4y_4);$

$r_{30} \mid_{\omega_2=0} = (y_3^2 + 2y_6)^5 + (y_{10} + 4y_6y_4 - y_5^2 + 2y_4y_3^2)^3 + (y_{15} + y_{10}y_5$
$\quad + y_9y_3^2 + 2y_8y_7 - 4y_7y_5y_3 + 5y_6^2y_3 + 2y_6y_5y_4 + 2y_5y_4y_3^2 + y_4^3y_3)^2$
$\quad + y_8(y_{15}y_7 + 8y_{15}y_4y_3 - 9y_{10}y_8y_4 - 10y_{10}y_6y_3^2 - 4y_{10}y_4^3 - 2y_{10}y_3^4 + y_9y_7y_3^2$
$\quad + 6y_9y_5y_4^2 + 8y_9y_4y_3^3 - 2y_8^2y_6 - y_8^2y_3^2 + 44y_8y_7^2 + 7y_8y_6y_5y_3 - 49y_8y_5^2y_4$

$$+7y_8y_5y_3^3+25y_7^2y_5y_3-5y_7y_6^2y_3+10y_7y_6y_5y_4-12y_7y_5y_4y_3^2-30y_7y_4^3y_3$$
$$-10y_6^3y_4+5y_6^2y_5^2+12y_5^3y_4y_3+3y_5y_4^2y_3^3+y_4^4y_3^2+4y_4y_3^6)$$

and where, for simplicity, the polynomials r_k with $k \geq 12$ are given after modeling the idea $\langle \omega_2 \rangle$ generated by ω_2.

2.8. Fibration on flag manifolds. Let P_J and P_K be two parabolic subgroups of G with $K \subset J \subseteq \{1, \cdots, n\}$, and consider the fibration associated to the inclusion $P_J \subset P_K$ of subgroups

(2.11) $P_K/P_J \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} G/P_J \overset{\pi}{\to} G/P_K.$

The induced maps π^* and i^* behave well with respect to the Schubert bases on the three flag manifolds P_K/P_J, G/P_J and G/P_K in the following sense

(2.12) with respect to the inclusion $W(P_K;G) \subset W(P_J,G)$ by (2.2), the map

$$\pi^* : H^*(G/P_K) \to H^*(G/P_J)$$

identifies the Schubert basis $\{s_w\}_{w \in W(P_K;G)}$ of G/P_K with the subset $\{s_w\}_{w \in W(P_K;G) \subset W(P_J,G)}$ of the Schubert basis on G/P_J;

(2.13) the induced map $i^* : H^*(G/P_J) \to H^*(P_K/P_J)$ identifies the subset $\{s_w\}_{w \in W(P_J;P_K) \subset W(P_J;G)}$ of the Schubert basis on G/P_J with the Schubert basis $\{s_w\}_{w \in W(P_J;P_K)}$ on P_K/P_J.

In views of (2.12) and (2.13) and for the notational convenience, we shall adopt the following convention.

> **Convention.** We make no difference in notation between a Schubert class in $H^*(G/P_K)$ with its π^* image in $H^*(G/P_J)$; between a Schubert class in $H^*(P_K/P_J)$ with its i^* pre-image in $H^*(G/P_J)$.

It follows also from (2.13) that the bundle (2.11) has the *Leray–Hirsch property* [Hus, p.231] over $\mathbb{Z}$. With the convention in mind a purely algebraic argument in polynomial rings establishes the next result.

Lemma 2. *Assume that $\{y_1, \cdots, y_{n_1}\}$ is a subset of Schubert classes on P_K/P_J, and that $\{x_1, \cdots, x_{n_2}\}$ is a subset of Schubert classes on G/P_K, so that*

(2.14) $H^*(P_K/P_J) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[y_i]_{1 \leq i \leq n_1}}{\langle r_s \rangle_{1 \leq s \leq m_1}}$; $H^*(G/P_K) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x_j]_{1 \leq j \leq n_2}}{\langle h_t \rangle_{1 \leq t \leq m_2}}$,

where $r_s \in \mathbb{Z}[y_i]_{1 \leq i \leq n_1}$, $h_t \in \mathbb{Z}[x_j]_{1 \leq j \leq n_2}$.

Then the inclusion $\{y_i, x_j\} \subset H^*(G/P_J)$ induces a surjective ring map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[y_i, x_i]_{1 \leq i \leq n_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2} \to H^*(G/P_J)$. Furthermore,

a) there exist a set of polynomials $\{\rho_s\}_{1 \leq s \leq m_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}[y_i, x_j]$ that satisfy

i) $\varphi(\rho_s) = 0$; ii) $\rho_s \mid_{x_j=0} = r_s$;

b) for any subset $\{\rho_s\}_{1 \leq s \leq m_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}[y_i, x_j]$ with properties i) and ii) above, φ induces a ring isomorphism

$$H^*(G/P_J) = \mathbb{Z}[y_i, x_i]_{1 \leq i \leq n_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2} / \langle \rho_s, h_t \rangle_{1 \leq s \leq m_1, 1 \leq t \leq m_2}. \square$$

Remark 2. In Lemma 2 the subset $\{\rho_s\}_{1 \leq s \leq m_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}[y_i, x_j]$ satisfying the constraints i) and ii) in a) is not unique.

3 Computing with Weyl invariants

Consider the fibration (2.11) associated to a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$

(3.1) $P/T \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} G/T \overset{\pi}{\to} G/P$,

The induced ring map $\pi^* : H^*(G/P) \to H^*(G/T)$ is injective and satisfies

(3.2) $\mathrm{Im}[\pi^* : H^*(G/P) \to H^*(G/T)] = H^*(G/T)^{W(P)}$ ([BGG, §5]),

where $H^*(G/T)^{W(P)}$ is the subring of $W(P)$–invariants with respect to the action of the subgroup $W(P) \subset W(G)$ on $H^*(G/T)$.

Lemma 3. *Let $P \subset G$ be one of the four parabolic subgroups in (2.1), and let $c_k(G) \in H^*(G/T)$ be the corresponding polynomials in Definition 1. Then*

$$c_k(G) \in \mathrm{Im}[\pi^* : H^*(G/P) \to H^*(G/T)].$$

Proof. By (3.2) it suffices to show that $c_k(G) \in H^*(G/T)^{W(P)}$. For this purpose we note that $c_k(G)$ is a polynomial in the fundamental weights $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n\} \subset H^2(G/T)$, and that the action of $W(G)$ on the $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$ is given by the Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{n\times n}$ of G as ([DZZ])

(3.3) $\sigma_i(\omega_k) = \begin{cases} \omega_i \text{ if } k \neq i; \\ \omega_i - \Sigma_{1\leq j\leq n} c_{ij}\omega_j \text{ if } k = i \end{cases}, 1 \leq i \leq n$

For $(G, P) = (F_4, C_3 \cdot S^1)$, $W(C_3 \cdot S^1)$ is the subgroup of $W(F_4)$ generated by $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$ (see **2.3**). With the formula (3.3) and the Cartan matrix of F_4 given in [Hu, p.59], one finds that the $W(C_3 \cdot S^1)$–orbit through $t_1 = \omega_4$ is precisely $t_1, \cdots, t_6$ in Definition 1. This shows that $c_k(F_4) \in H^*(F_4/T)^{W(C_3\cdot S^1)}$, $1 \leq k \leq 6$.

The result for $(G, P) = (E_n, A_{n-1} \cdot S^1)$, $n = 6, 7, 8$, come from the same calculation: the $W(A_{n-1} \cdot S^1)$–orbit through $t_1 = \omega_n \in L(T)$ is $t_1, \cdots, t_n$ in Definition 1.□

Comparing Lemma 3 with the presentations in (2.7)–(2.10) one finds that each $c_k(G) \in H^{2k}(G/T)$ can be written as a polynomial in the Schubert classes y_i's in (2.7)–(2.10) (i.e. Convention in **2.8**). It is such expressions that provide us with the relations of the type g_i in Theorems 2–5.

Lemma 4. *The following relations hold in $H^*(G/T)$,*

(3.4) $G = F_4$:

$g_1 : c_1 = 3\omega_1$

$g_2 : c_2 = 4\omega_1^2;$

$g_3 : c_3 = 6y_3;$

$g_4 : c_4 = 3y_4 + 2\omega_1 y_3;$

$g_5 : c_5 = \omega_1 y_4;$

$g_6 : c_6 = y_6.$

(3.5) $G = E_6$:

$g_1 : c_1 = 3\omega_2;$

$g_2 : c_2 = 4\omega_2^2;$

$g_3 : c_3 = 2y_3 + 2\omega_2^3;$

$g_4 : c_4 = 3y_4 + \omega_2^4;$

$g_5 : c_5 = 3\omega_2 y_4 \ - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2^5;$

$g_6 : c_6 = y_6.$

(3.6) $G = E_7$:

$g_1 : c_1 = 3\omega_2;$

$g_2 : c_2 = 4\omega_2^2;$

$g_3 : c_3 = 2y_3 + 2\omega_2^3;$

$g_4 : c_4 = 3y_4 + \omega_2^4;$

$g_5 : c_5 = 2y_5 + 3\omega_2 y_4 \ - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2^5;$

$g_6 : c_6 = y_6 + 2\omega_2 y_5;$

$g_7 : c_7 = y_7.$

(3.7) $G = E_8$:

$g_1 : c_1 = 3\omega_2;$

$g_2 : c_2 = 4\omega_2^2;$

$g_3 : c_3 = 2y_3 + 2\omega_2^3;$

$g_4 : c_4 = 3y_4 + \omega_2^4;$

$g_5 : c_5 = 2y_5 + 3\omega_2 y_4 \ - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2^5;$

$g_6 : c_6 = 5y_6 + 2y_3^2 + 6\omega_2 y_5 - 6\omega_2^2 y_4 + 4\omega_2^3 y_3 - 2\omega_2^6;$

$g_7 : c_7 = y_7 + 4\omega_2 y_6 + 2\omega_2 y_3^2 \ + 4\omega_2^2 y_5 - 6\omega_2^3 y_4 + 4\omega_2^4 y_3 - 2\omega_2^7;$

$g_8 : c_8 = y_8,$

where y_i's are the Schubert classes on the G/P specified in (2.7)–(2.10).

Proof. According to Lemmas 1 and 3, each $c_k(G)$ can be expand uniquely in terms of the Schubert basis on G/P, namely

(3.8) $c_k(G) = \sum a_{k,i} s_{k,i},\ a_{k,i} \in \mathbb{Z},$

where $s_{k,i}$ is the i^{th} Schubert class on G/P in degree k (**2.4**). Since $c_k(G)$ is a polynomials in the Schubert classes $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$, the *L-R coefficients* (**2.4**) is applicable to compute $a_{k,i}$. Precisely, the results obtained are tabulated in **7.1**.

Furthermore, the *Giambelli polynomials* in **2.6** enables one to write each $s_{k,i} \in H^*(G/P)$ in (3.8) as a polynomial $\mathcal{G}_{k,i}$ in the Schubert classes y_j's on G/P given respectively in (2.7)–(2.10), and the results obtained are presented in **7.2**.

Finally, the formulae in (3.4)–(3.7) are seen to be

(3.9) $g_k : c_k(G) = \sum a_{k,i} \mathcal{G}_{k,i}.$

As an example let us compute $c_5(E_7)$. From the $(6,4)^{th}$ place of the table in **7.1** one reads that its expression in the Schubert basis on $E_7/SU(7)\cdot S^1$ is

$c_5(E_7) = -s_{5,1} - 2s_{5,2} - 4s_{5,4}$ (compare this with (3.8)).

From the last column of the table in **7.2** one finds that the Giambelli polynomials for $s_{5,1}$, $s_{5,2}$ and $s_{5,4}$ are respectively

$\mathcal{G}_{5,1} = \omega_2 y_4 - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2^5$, $\mathcal{G}_{5,2} = -y_5 + \omega_2 y_4$, $\mathcal{G}_{5,4} = y_5$.

Consequently (compare with the formula of c_5 in (3.6))

$c_5(E_7) = -2y_5 - 3\omega_2 y_4 + 2\omega_2^2 y_3 - \omega_2^5$.□

Remark 3. Results in Lemma 4 admits geometric interpretations. Consider the group homomorphism $h_n : U(n)\times S^1 \to S^1$ by $h(g, e^{i\theta}) = \det(e^{i\theta}g)^3$. The projection $U(n)\times S^1 \to U(n)$ onto the first factor restricts to an n–dimensional representation ε_n of the subgroup $\ker h_n$. We note that for $n = 6, 7, 8$

(3.10) $\ker h_n \subset U(n)\times S^1$ is isomorphic to the subgroup $P_{\{2\}} = A_n \cdot S^1 \subset E_n$.

It follows that the representation ε_n gives rise to a complex n–bundle $p : V_n \to E_n/P_{\{2\}}$ on $E_n/P_{\{2\}}$ ([AH]). It can be shown that, letting $c_r(V_n) \in H^r(E_n/P_{\{2\}})$ be the r^{th} Chern class of V_n, $1 \le r \le n$, then

(3.11) $c_r(V_n) = c_r(G)$, $1 \le r \le n$.

In other word, the formulae in (3.5)–(3.7) express the Chern class $c_r(V_n)$ by the special Schubert classes on $E_n/P_{\{2\}}$.

Finally, it is worth to mention that, if $\widetilde{p} : \mathbb{C}P(V_n) \to E_n/P_{\{2\}}$ is the projective bundle associated to p, then $\mathbb{C}P(V_n) = E_n/P_{\{2,n\}}$ and the projection $\widetilde{p}$ agrees with the bundle map induced by the group inclusion $P_{\{2,n\}} \subset P_{\{2\}}$.□

4 Proofs of Theorem 1-5

The ring $H^*(G_2/T)$ was computed by Bott and Samelson in [BS]. The result is the same as that stated in Theorem 1, where our only contribution is to identify the generator in degree 6 with the Schubert class with Weyl coordinate $\sigma[1,2,1]$ on G_2/T. We may therefore omit discussion on the simplest case.

4.1. Schubert presentations of $H^*(G/T)$ for a classical G. We describe the Schubert presentations of $H^*(G/T)$ for $G = A_n$ and C_n. Certain cases of the results are needed by the proofs of Theorems 2–5.

Let $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{n-1}\} \subset H^2(A_n/T)$ be a set of fundamental dominant weights. The $W(A_n)$–orbit through $\omega_1 \in H^2(A_n/T)$ can be computed from the Cartan matrix of A_n as

(4.1) $t_1 = \omega_1$, $t_k = \omega_k - \omega_{k-1}$, $t_n = -\omega_{n-1}$, $2 \le k \le n-1$,

see the proof of Lemma 3. On the other hand, according to Borel [B] we have

$H^*(A_n/T) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \cdots, t_n]/\langle e_1, \cdots, e_n\rangle$, where $e_i(t_1, \cdots, t_n)$ is the i^{th} elementary symmetric function in the t_k's. Consequently, if we let $s_i(\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{n-1})$ be the polynomial obtained from e_i by substituting the t_i's with the forms in ω_i's given in (4.1), we get

Lemma 5. $H^*(A_n/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{n-1}]/\left\langle s_2, s_3, \cdots, s_n \right\rangle$.□

Similarly, let $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n\} \subset H^2(C_n/T)$ be a set of fundamental dominant weights of C_n, and let $s_{2k}(\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n)$ be the polynomial obtained from $e_k(t_1^2, \cdots, t_n^2)$ by letting

$$t_1 = \omega_1,\ t_i = \omega_i - \omega_{i-1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

One deduces from the Borel's presentation of the ring $H^*(C_n/T)$ [B] that

Lemma 6. $H^*(C_n/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n]/\left\langle s_2, s_4, \cdots, s_{2n} \right\rangle$.□

4.2. Proofs of Theorem 2–5. With the preliminaries developed so far Theorem 2–5 can be established in a relatively unified pattern.

In the fibration $C_3/T^3 \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} F_4/T \overset{\pi}{\to} F_4/\ C_3 \cdot S^1$ (where $T^3 \subset C_3$ is the standard maximal torus) we note that

i) presentations of $H^*(C_3/T^3)$ and $H^*(F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1)$ in the form of (2.14) were given respectively by Lemma 6 with $n = 3$ and by (2.7);

ii) the polynomials g_{2k}, $k = 1, 2, 3$, in (3.4) is a set of relations on $H^*(F_4/T)$ whose restriction to $H^*(C_3/T^3)$ yield s_2, s_4, s_6 in Lemma 6.

It follows now from b) of Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(F_4/T) &= \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_4, y_3, y_4, y_6]/\left\langle g_2, g_4, g_6, r_3, r_6, r_8, r_{12} \right\rangle \\ &= \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_4, y_3, y_4]/\left\langle g_2, g_4, r_3, r_6, r_8, r_{12} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from $g_6 : c_6 = y_6$ by (3.4), which implies that y_6 (resp. g_6) may be excluded from the set of generators (resp. the relations) after replacing y_6 by c_6 in r_6, r_8, r_{12}. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

The same argument applies equally well to the cases $G = E_n$, $n = 6, 7, 8$. Consider the fibration $A_n/T^{n-1} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} E_n/T \overset{p}{\to} E_n/\ A_n \cdot S^1$ associated to the parabolic subgroup $P_{\{2\}} = A_n \cdot S^1 \subset E_n$, where $T^{n-1} \subset A_n$ is the standard maximal torus. We note that

i) presentations of the rings $H^*(A_n/T^{n-1})$ and $H^*(E_n/A_n \cdot S^1)$ in the form of (2.14) have been given respectively by Lemma 5 (with $n = 6, 7, 8$) and by (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) in accordance with $n = 6, 7, 8$;

ii) the polynomials g_k, $2 \leq k \leq n$, in (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) in accordance with $n = 6, 7, 8$ form a set of relations on $H^*(E_n/T)$ whose restrictions to $H^*(A_n/T^{n-1})$ yield s_k, $2 \leq k \leq n$, in Lemma 5.

It follows now from b) of Lemma 2 that

$$(4.3)\quad H^*(E_n/T) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n, y_i]_{i \in \mathcal{S}(n)}/\left\langle g_2, \cdots, g_n, r_j \right\rangle_{j \in \mathcal{R}(n)},$$

where $\{y_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{S}(n)}$, $\{r_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{R}(n)}$ are given in (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) in accordance with $n = 6, 7, 8$. Theorems 3–5 are obtained from (4.3) by notifying further that certain y_k can be eliminated against an appropriate g_k. Precisely,

iii) if $n = 6$, y_6 can be eliminated against $g_6 : c_6 = y_6$ by (3.5);

iv) if $n = 7$, y_6, y_7 and g_6, g_7 can be excluded since $y_6 = c_6 - 2\omega_2 y_5$; $y_7 = c_7$ by the formulae of g_6, g_7 in (3.6);

v) if $n = 8$, y_7, y_8 can be eliminated against the relations g_7, g_8 (3.7) since

$$y_7 = c_7 - 2\omega_2(2y_6 + y_3^2 + 4\omega_2 y_5 - \omega_2 c_5);\ y_8 = c_8.$$

Finally, we remark that, without altering the ideal, higher degree relations in $g_2, \cdots, g_n, r_i$ may be simplified using the lower degree ones. The eventual relations printed in Theorems 3–5 come as the results of the eliminations and simplifications indicated in iii)–v).□

5 Common properties of the rings $H^*(G/T)$

Historically, the topological problem to compute the ring $H^*(G/T)$ was initiated, and solved for the cases $G = A_n$ and C_n by Borel [B]. Using Morse theory Bott and Samelson constructed *K–cycles* on G/T that were applied to show that $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free, and to obtain a presentation of $H^*(G_2/T)$ [BS]. Another method, exploiting the relationship between representation theory and K–theory, was suggested by Atiyah and Hirzebruch [AH, **5.9**]. Combining Borel's method [B] with previous results on the algebras $H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for all prime p, Toda [T] determined for all exceptional G the degrees of the generators and relations required to present the ring $H^*(G/T)$. After Toda [T], presentations of $H^*(G/T)$ for $G = Spin(n), F_4, E_6$ and E_7 were obtained by Toda, Watanabe [TW] and Nakagawa [N] in which the generators were specified mainly by their degrees.

On the other hand, the problem of describing the ring $H^*(G/T)$ in the context of *Schubert calculus* is requested by representation theory [Hi, Ku], intersection theory [FP], and by an extension of the theory of Schubert polynomials from classical G [FP; BHa] to arbitrary Lie groups, and has been studied by Chevalley [C], Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand [BGG], Demazure [D], Kostant and Kumar [KK].

Theorems 1–5 take care of these two concerns. Moreover, with the presence of these results common properties in the Schubert presentations of the rings $H^*(G/T)$ are summarized into the next result, that serve as the starting point in our unified construction for the cohomology of the corresponding G [DZ$_3$, DZ$_4$].

Theorem 6. *Let G be a compact, 1–connected simple Lie group of rank n. There exist Schubert classes $x_1, \cdots, x_m$ on G/T of $\deg x_i > 2$ so that*

a) the set $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n; x_1, \cdots, x_m\}$ is a minimal set of generators for the ring $H^*(G/T)$;

b) with respect to these generators, one has the presentation

$$(5.1)\quad H^*(G/T;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n; x_j] / \left\langle \rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_j \right\rangle_{1 \le i \le k; 1 \le j \le m},$$

in which

i) $k = n - \left|\{\deg \mu_j \mid 1 \le j \le m\}\right|$;

ii) for each $1 \le i \le k$, $\rho_i \in \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle$;

iii) for each $1 \le j \le m$, the pair λ_j, μ_j of relations is related to the Schubert class x_j in the fashions

$$\lambda_j = p_j x_j + \alpha_j,\quad \mu_j = x_j^{k_j} + \beta_j,\ 1 \le j \le m,$$

with $p_j \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle$.

Furthermore, for each simple G the sets of integers

$$\{k, m\},\ \{\deg \rho_i\},\ \{\deg x_j\},\ \{p_j\},\ \{k_j\}$$

emerging in (5.1), called the basic data of G, are given in 7.3.

Proof. If $G = SU(n)$ or $Sp(n)$ we have $m = 0$ and the result have been shown by Lemmas 4 and 5. For the case $G = Spin(n)$ the result was obtained by Pittie [P, 3.4. Proposition], in which the generators γ_{2i+1} in [P, 3.4] are the Schubert classes on $Spin(n)/T$ used by Marlin [M$_2$] in describing the Chow ring of the reductive algebraic group $Spin(n)^c$ corresponding to $Spin(n)$.

For $G = G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7$ Theorem 6 come directly from Theorems 1–4, by which each of the relations g_i or r_j fall into one of the three types ρ_i, λ_j, μ_j with basic data agree with those tabulated in **7.3**.

The following observation is useful in verifying Theorem 6 for $G = E_8$ from Theorems 5. Let $\{f_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ and $\{h_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ be two ordered sets in a graded polynomial ring with $\deg f_1 < \deg f_2 < \cdots < \deg f_n$. We write $\{h_i\}_{1\le i\le n} \sim \{f_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ to denote the statement that

$$\deg h_i = \deg f_i \text{ and } (f_i - h_i) \in \langle f_j \rangle_{1\le j<i},\ 1 \le i \le n.$$

It is straightforward to see that

(5.2) $\{h_i\}_{1\le i\le n} \sim \{f_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ implies that $\langle h_i \rangle_{1\le i\le n} = \langle f_i \rangle_{1\le i\le n}$.

For $G = E_8$ one can construct from the relations g_i and r_j in Theorem 5 a set $\{\rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_s, \phi\}_{1\le i\le 3; 1\le j\le 7, s=1,2,3,5}$ of polynomials with the following properties

a) $\{\rho_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \rho_2, \lambda_5, \lambda_6, \mu_2, \rho_3, \lambda_7, \mu_5, \mu_3, \mu_1, \phi\}$
$\sim \{g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, r_8, r_9, r_{10}, r_{12}, r_{14}, r_{15}, r_{18}, r_{20}, r_{24}, r_{30}\}$;

b) each of ρ_i, λ_j, μ_s takes the form as that asserted in ii), iii) of Theorem 6;

c) $\phi = 2y_6^5 - y_{10}^3 + y_{15}^2 + \beta$ with $\beta \in \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_8 \rangle$.

Theorem 6 for $G = E_8$ comes almost from a)–b) except for two places:

i) the polynomial ϕ does not belong to any of the three types ρ_i, λ_j, μ_j;
ii) the polynomials μ_4, μ_6, μ_7 required to couple $\lambda_4, \lambda_6, \lambda_7$ are absent.

In fact, the missing polynomials μ_4, μ_6 and μ_7 can be constructed from ϕ and $\lambda_4, \lambda_6, \lambda_7$ by the formulae below:

$$(5.3)\ \begin{cases} \mu_4 = -12\phi + 5y_6^4\lambda_4 - 4y_{10}^2\lambda_6 + 6y_{15}\lambda_7 \\ \mu_6 = -10\phi + 4y_6^4\lambda_4 - 3y_{10}^2\lambda_6 + 5y_{15}\lambda_7 \\ \mu_7 = 15\phi - 6y_6^4\lambda_4 + 5y_{10}^2\lambda_6 - 7y_{15}\lambda_7 \end{cases}.$$

Indeed, from (5.3) we find that

$$\mu_4, \mu_6, \mu_7 \in \langle \rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_s, \phi \rangle; \quad \phi = 2\mu_4 - \mu_6 + \mu_7 \in \langle \rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_j \rangle_{1\le i\le 3, 1\le j\le 7},$$

where $1 \le i \le 3$; $1 \le j \le 7$, $s = 1, 2, 3, 5$. These shows that, as ideals in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_8, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_9, y_{10}, y_{15}]$ (see in Theorem 5)

(5.4) $\langle \rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_s, \phi \rangle = \left\langle \rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_j \right\rangle_{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 7}$.

Furthermore, substituting in (5.3) the expressions

$$\phi = 2y_6^5 - y_{10}^3 + y_{15}^2 + \beta; \qquad \lambda_i = \begin{cases} 5y_6 + \alpha_4 \text{ for } i = 4; \\ 3y_{10} + \alpha_6 \text{ for } i = 6; \\ 2y_{15} + \alpha_7 \text{ for } i = 7 \end{cases}$$

yields that

$$(5.5) \begin{cases} \mu_4 = y_6^5 + 5y_6^4\alpha_4 - 4y_{10}^2\alpha_6 + 6y_{15}\alpha_7 - 12\beta \\ \mu_6 = y_{10}^3 + 4y_6^4\alpha_4 - 3y_{10}^2\alpha_6 + 5y_{15}\alpha_7 - 10\beta \\ \mu_7 = y_{15}^2 - 6y_6^4\alpha_4 + 5y_{10}^2\alpha_6 - 7y_{15}\alpha_7 + 15\beta \end{cases}$$

The proof for the case $G = E_8$ is completed by (5.4) and (5.5).□

The inclusion $T \subset G$ of a fixed maximal torus induces the fibration

$$G/T \overset{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} BT \overset{\pi}{\to} BG$$

where BT (resp. BG) is the classifying space of T (resp. G), $H^*(BT)$ is identified the free polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n]$ in the fundamental dominant weights $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$ ([BH]), and where the induced map

(5.6) $\psi^* : H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n] \to H^*(G/T)$

of the fiber inclusion is well known as *the Borel characteristic map.*

We can derive from Theorem 6 a partial characterization for $H^*(G/T)$ as a module over the subring $\operatorname{Im}\psi^*$. Let G be a simple Lie group of rank n, and let $x_1, \cdots, x_m$ be the set of special Schubert classes on G/T. Given a subset $I \subseteq \{1, \cdots, m\}$ and a function $r : I \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ denote by $x_I^{r(I)}$ the monomial $\prod\limits_{t \in I} x_t^{r(t)}$, where $\mathbb{Z}^+$ is the set of all positive integers. By iii) of Theorem 6 one has the partition

$$\{1, \cdots, m\} = \bigsqcup_{p \in \{2,3,5\}} G(p) \text{ with } G(p) = \{j \mid p_j = p\}.$$

Definition 3. A monomial $x_I^{r(I)}$ is called *p–monotonous* if $I \subseteq G(p)$ and $r(t) < k_t$ for all $t \in I$.

An direct consequence of Theorem 6 is

Proposition 1. *As a module over the subring* $\operatorname{Im}\psi^*$, $H^*(G/T)$ *is spanned by* 1 *and all the p–monotonous monomials with* $G(p) \neq \phi$.

Proof. In view of the surjection $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n; x_1, \cdots, x_m] \to H^*(G/T)$ by (5.1) it is sufficient to show that, if a monomial $x_I^{r(I)}$ fails to be p–monotonous for some p, there must be $x_I^{r(I)} \in \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle$.

Suppose that there exist $i, j \in I$ so that $p_i \neq p_j$. We get from the relations λ_i and λ_j (see in iii) of Theorem 6) that

$$x_I^{r(I)} = x_I^{r'(I)}(q_i x_j \alpha_i + q_j x_i \alpha_j) \in \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle,$$

where (q_i, q_j) is a pair of integers satisfying $q_i p_i + q_j p_j = 1$, and where

$$r'(t) = \begin{cases} r(t) \text{ for } t \neq i, j; \\ r(t) - 1 \text{ for } t = i \text{ or } j. \end{cases}.$$

Suppose next that there exists $i \in I$ so that $r(i) \geq k_i$. We get from the relation μ_i that $x_I^{r(I)} = x_I^{r'(I)} \beta_i \in \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle$, where

$$r'(t) = \begin{cases} r(t) \text{ for } t \neq i; \\ r(t) - k_i \text{ for } t = i. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.□

Using the set $\{\rho_i; \lambda_j, \mu_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq k; 1 \leq j \leq m}$ of relations in Theorem 6, a unified method constructing the cohomology rings $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is given in [DZ$_3$].

6 Schubert presentation of $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{F}_p)$

Since the ring $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free [BS], one can deduce a presentation of $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{F}_p)$ directly from Theorem 6 and the isomorphism $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{F}) = H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}$. In what follows we shall put for $G \neq E_8$ that

$$\overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \text{the complement of } G(p) \subset \{1, \cdots, m\}$$

and let

$$\overline{E}_8(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \{1,2,3,5,6\} \text{ if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} \text{ or } \mathbb{F}_p \text{ with } p \neq 2,3,5; \\ \{2\} \text{ if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2; \\ \{1,3,5\} \text{ if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_3; \\ \{1,2,3,5\} \text{ if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_5. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7. *For a prime p let $\widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_j, \widetilde{\beta}_j$ be the polynomials obtained respectively from $\rho_i, \alpha_t, \mu_j, \beta_j$ in Theorem 6 by eliminating all x_s with $s \notin G(p)$ using λ_s. Then*

(6,1) $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n, x_t] / \langle \widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_s \rangle_{1 \leq i \leq k, t \in G(p), s \in \overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$,

where

i) $\{\widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\beta}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_s\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, t \in G(p), s \in \overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \subset \langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p}$;

ii) $\widetilde{\mu}_t = x_t^{k_t} + \widetilde{\beta}_t$, $t \in G(p)$,

and where $\langle \omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the ideal in $\mathbb{F}_p[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n, y_t]$ generated by $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$.

Proof. After reduction $\bmod\, p$ the relations λ_j in Theorem 6 become

a) $\alpha_t \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for $t \in G(p)$;

b) $x_s - q_s \alpha_s \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for $s \notin G(p)$,

where the $q_s > 0$ is the smallest integer satisfying $q_s p_s \equiv -1 \bmod p$. a) implies that the relations λ_t with $t \in G(p)$ should be replaced by $\alpha_t \equiv 0$. In view of b) we can eliminate all x_s with $s \notin G(p)$ from the set of generators and replace it in the remaining relations by $q_s \alpha_s$ to obtain the presentation

c) $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n, x_t] / \left\langle \widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_j \right\rangle_{1 \leq i \leq k, t \in G(p), 1 \leq j \leq m}$.

For $G \neq E_8$ the result is verified by $\{1, \cdots, m\} = G(p) \coprod \overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. For $G = E_8$ reduction mod p of the system (5.3) yields the next relations

$\widetilde{\mu}_4 \equiv 0$; $\widetilde{\mu}_6 \equiv x_7 \widetilde{\alpha}_7$ for $p = 2$;
$\widetilde{\mu}_4 \equiv \widetilde{\mu}_7 \equiv -x_6^2 \widetilde{\alpha}_6$ for $p = 3$;
$\widetilde{\mu}_6 \equiv \widetilde{\mu}_7 \equiv -x_4^4 \widetilde{\alpha}_4$ for $p = 5$;
$\widetilde{\mu}_4 \equiv s\widetilde{\mu}_6$; $\widetilde{\mu}_7 \equiv t\widetilde{\mu}_6$ if $p \neq 2, 3, 5$ (for some $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_p$).

Combining these with c) establishes Theorem 7 for $G = E_8$.□

Theorem 7 implies the next result, in analogue to Proposition 1

Proposition 2. *As a module over the subring* $\operatorname{Im} \psi^* \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{F}_p)$ *has the basis consisting of* 1 *and all* p*–monotonous monomials* $x_I^{r(I)}$.

Also subsequent to Theorem 7 we introduce the next notation.

Definition 4. The set of polynomials $\{\widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_s\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, t \in G(p), s \in \overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ appearing in i) of Theorem 7 is called a set of (p–)*primary polynomials* on G.□

The p–primary polynomials were utilized in [DZ$_3$] to construct explicitly a set of generators (called p–primary generators) on $H^*(G;\mathbb{F}_p)$ uniformly for all simple G and prime p. They can also be applied to deduce a second presentation of the ring $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{F}_p)$ useful in specifying the Steenrod operations on $H^*(G;\mathbb{F}_p)$ with respect to primary generators ([DZ$_4$]). For simplicity, we shall make no difference in notation between a polynomial $\theta \in H^*(BT;\mathbb{F}_p)$ and its $\psi^* \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$–image (see (5.6)) in $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proposition 3. *For each exceptional Lie group* G *with rank* n *and and a prime* p*, there exist*

a set $\{\theta_{s_1}, \cdots, \theta_{s_n}\} \subset H^*(BT;\mathbb{F}_p)$ *of* n *polynomials; and*
a set $\{y_{t_1}, \cdots, y_{t_k}\}$ *of Schubert classes on* G/T

with $\deg \theta_s = 2s$, $\deg y_t = 2t > 2$, *so that*
i) $\ker \psi^* \otimes \mathbb{F}_p = \langle \theta_{s_1}, \cdots, \theta_{s_n} \rangle$;
ii) $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, y_t] / \left\langle \theta_s, y_t^{k_t} + \beta_t \right\rangle_{s \in r(G,p),\ t \in e(G,p)}$;
iii) the three sets $r(G,p)$, $e(G,p)$ *and* $\{k_t\}_{t \in e(G,p)}$ *of integers are subject to*

$$e(G,p) \subset r(G,p) \text{ and } \dim G = \sum_{s \in r(G,p)} (2s-1) + \sum_{t \in e(G,p)} 2(k_t - 1)t,$$

where $r(G,p) = \{s_1, \cdots, s_n\}$, $e(G,p) = \{t_1, \cdots, t_k\}$ *and* $\beta_t \in \langle \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n \rangle$.

Proof. The set $\{y_t\}_{t \in e(G,p)}$ of Schubert classes is given by $y_{\deg x_i} =: x_i$, $i \in G(p)$ (see Theorem 7). The set of polynomials $\{\theta_{s_1}, \cdots, \theta_{s_n}\}$ are obtained from the set of (p–)primary polynomials $\{\widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_s\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, t \in G(p), s \in \overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ by setting $y_t = 0$. The relations of the form $y_t^{k_t} + \beta_t$ in ii) agree with the ones in ii) of

Theorem 7. Finally, the numerical constrains on the three sets $r(G,p)$, $e(G,p)$ and $\{k_t\}_{t\in e(G,p)}$ of integers can be verified from the data in **7.3** in accordance to $p = 2, 3, 5$ and $p \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$.□

Remark 3. For all the pairs (G,p) with G exceptional and $G(p) \neq \varnothing$, concrete presentations of $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{F}_p)$ in the form of (6.1) can be obtained by applying the algorithm given in the proof of Theorem 7 to the polynomials $\left\{\rho_i;\lambda_j,\mu_j\right\}$ in Theorem 6. As examples we present the results for the cases where $G = E_7$ and $p = 2$ and 3.

For the notational convenience and to emphasize the degrees of the polynomials concerned, we shall write $\gamma_{\deg f}$ instead of $f \in \{\widetilde{\rho}_i, \widetilde{\alpha}_t, \widetilde{\mu}_s\}_{1\leq i\leq k, t\in G(p), s\in\overline{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$; $h_{\deg \widetilde{\mu}_t}$ in the place of $\widetilde{\mu}_t$, $t \in G(p)$ (see (6.1)).

(6.2) $H^*(E_7/T;\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_7,y_3,y_5,y_9]/\left\langle \gamma_s, h_6, h_{10}, h_{18}\right\rangle_{s\in\{2,3,5,8,9,12,14\}}$,

$$\gamma_2 = c_2;$$
$$\gamma_3 = c_3;$$
$$\gamma_5 = \omega_2 c_4 + c_5;$$
$$h_6 = y_3^2 + \omega_2 c_5;$$
$$\gamma_8 = y_3(\omega_2 c_4 + c_5) + \omega_2^2 c_6 + \omega_2^3 c_5 + c_4^2 + \omega_2^8;$$
$$\gamma_9 = \omega_2^2 c_7 + \omega_2^3 c_6$$
$$h_{10} = y_5^2 + \omega_2^3 c_7;$$
$$\gamma_{12} = y_3(\omega_2^2 c_7 + c_3 c_6 + \omega_2^3 c_6) + (\omega_2^4 - c_4)^3 + \omega_2(\omega_2^4 + c_4)c_7 + c_5 c_7 + c_6^2;$$
$$\gamma_{14} = y_5(\omega_2^2 c_7 + \omega_2^3 c_6) + c_7^2 + \omega_2^3(\omega_2^4 + c_4)c_7;$$
$$h_{18} = y_9^2 + c_4 c_7^2.$$

(6.3) $H^*(E_7/T;\mathbb{F}_3) = \mathbb{F}_3[\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_7,y_4]/\left\langle \gamma_s, h_{12}\right\rangle_{s\in\{2,4,6,8,12,14,18\}}$, where

$$\gamma_2 = \omega_2^2 - c_2;$$
$$\gamma_4 = \omega_2^4 - c_4;$$
$$\gamma_6 = (\omega_2^3 + c_3)^2 - \omega_2 c_5 - c_6;$$
$$\gamma_8 = y_4(c_4 - \omega_2^4) + (\omega_2 c_4 + c_5)(\omega_2^3 + c_3) - \omega_2 c_7 + \omega_2^2 c_6;$$
$$\gamma_{10} = (\omega_2^2 c_3 + c_5)^2 - c_7 c_3;$$
$$h_{12} = y_4^3 - y_5 c_7 - \omega_2^2 y_3 c_7 + c_5 c_7 - c_6^2 - y_3 c_3 c_6 - \omega_2 y_5 c_6;$$
$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{14} = {} & c_7^2 - \omega_2^2 y_3 y_4 y_5 - \omega_2 c_4 y_4 y_5 + y_4 y_5 c_5 + y_3 y_5 c_6 - \omega_2^2 y_5 c_7 + \omega_2^3 y_5 c_6 \\ & - y_3 y_4 c_7 - \omega_2^3 y_4 c_7\end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{18} = {} & y_9^2 - y_4 c_7^2 + \omega_2^2 y_3 y_4 y_9 + \omega_2 y_4 y_9 c_4 - y_4 y_9 c_5 - \omega_2 y_3 c_7^2 - \omega_2^4 c_7^2 \\ & + \omega_2^3 y_3 y_5 c_7 + \omega_2 y_5 c_5 c_7\end{aligned}$$

in which

$$y_3 = -\omega_2^3 - c_3;$$
$$y_5 = -\omega_2^2 c_3 - c_5;$$
$$y_9 = (\omega_2^2 y_4 - c_6)(\omega_2^3 + c_3) - \omega_2 c_4 y_4 + y_4 c_5 - \omega_2^2 c_7 + \omega_2^3 c_6.$$

These polynomials in Schubert classes play a role in [DZ$_4$] to specify the structure of $H^*(G;\mathbb{F}_p)$ as an module over the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_p$.□

7 The numerical constraints

This section records the data emerging from the previous calculations.

7.1. The L–R coefficients $(a_{k,1}, a_{k,2}, \cdots)$ **in (3.8)**

k	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
1	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
2	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
3	(6)	$(2,4)$	$(2,4)$	$(2,4)$
4	$(2,7)$	$(1,2,4)$	$(1,2,4)$	$(1,2,4)$
5	$(0,3)$	$(1,2,0)$	$(1,2,0,4)$	$(1,2,0,4)$
6	$(0,1)$	$(1,0,0,0)$	$(1,0,0,2,0)$	$(1,0,0,2,0,4)$
7			$(0,1,0,0,0,0,0)$	$(0,1,0,0,0,2,0,0)$
8				$(0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$

7.2. The Giambelli polynomials $\mathcal{G}_{k,i}$ **in (3.9) for the Schubert class** $s_{k,i}$ **with** $a_{k,i} \neq 0$ **in (3.8)**

$\mathcal{G}_{k,i}$	$F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1$	$E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$	$E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1$
$\mathcal{G}_{1,1}$	ω_1	ω_2	ω_2
$\mathcal{G}_{2,1}$	ω_1^2	ω_2^2	ω_2^2
$\mathcal{G}_{3,1}$	y_3	$-y_3 + \omega_2^3$	$-y_3 + \omega_2^3$
$\mathcal{G}_{3,2}$		y_3	y_3
$\mathcal{G}_{4,1}$	$-2y_4 + \omega_1 y_3$	$y_4 - 2\omega_2 y_3 + \omega_2^4$	$y_4 - 2\omega_2 y_3 + \omega_2^4$
$\mathcal{G}_{4,2}$	y_4	$-y_4 + \omega_2 y_3$	$-y_4 + \omega_2 y_3$
$\mathcal{G}_{4,3}$		y_4	y_4
$\mathcal{G}_{5,1}$	$-4\omega_1 y_4 + \omega_1^2 y_3$	$\omega_2 y_4 - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2^5$	$\omega_2 y_4 - 2\omega_2^2 y_3 + \omega_2^5$
$\mathcal{G}_{5,2}$	$\omega_1 y_4$	$-\omega_2 y_4$	$-y_5 + \omega_2 y_4$
$\mathcal{G}_{5,4}$			y_5
$\mathcal{G}_{6,1}$		y_6	y_6
$\mathcal{G}_{6,2}$	y_6		
$\mathcal{G}_{6,4}$			$\omega_2 y_5$
$\mathcal{G}_{7,2}$			y_7

In the remaining case $E_8/A_8 \cdot S^1$ we have, for $k \leq 5$, $\mathcal{G}_{k,i}$ are the same as those of $E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1$ given in the table above; and for $k > 5$

$$\mathcal{G}_{6,1} = y_6;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{6,4} = -2y_6 - y_3^2 - \omega_2 y_5 + 3\omega_2^2 y_4 - 2\omega_2^3 y_3 + \omega_2^6;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{6,6} = 2y_6 + y_3^2 + 2\omega_2 y_5 - 3\omega_2^2 y_4 + 2\omega_2^3 y_3 - \omega_2^6;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{7,6} = 2\omega_2 y_6 + \omega_2 y_3^2 + 2\omega_2^2 y_5 - 3\omega_2^3 y_4 + 2\omega_2^4 y_3 - \omega_2^7;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{7,2} = y_7;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{8,2} = y_8.$$

7.3. The basic data of a simple Lie group G (see in Theorem 6).

G	$SU(n)$	$Sp(n)$	$Spin(2n)$	$Spin(2n+1)$
(k,m)	$(n-1,0)$	$(n,0)$	$([\frac{n+3}{2}],[\frac{n-2}{2}])$	$([\frac{n+2}{2}],[\frac{n-1}{2}])$
$\{\deg\rho_i\}$	$\{2i+2\}$	$\{4i\}$	$\{4t,2n,2^{[\ln(n-1)]+2}\}_{1\leq t\leq[\frac{n-1}{2}]}$	$\{4t,2^{[\ln n]+2}\}_{1\leq t\leq[\frac{n}{2}]}$
$\{\deg y_j\}$			$\{4j+2\}$	$\{4j+2\}$
$\{p_j\}$			$\{2,\cdots,2\}$	$\{2,\cdots,2\}$
$\{k_j\}$			$\{2^{[\ln\frac{n-1}{2j+1}]+1}\}$	$\{2^{[\ln\frac{n}{2j+1}]+1}\}$

G	G_2	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
(k,m)	$(1,1)$	$(2,2)$	$(4,2)$	$(3,4)$	$(3,7)$
$\{\deg\rho_i\}$	$\{4\}$	$\{4,16\}$	$\{4,10,16,18\}$	$\{4,16,28\}$	$\{4,16,28\}$
$\{\deg y_j\}$	$\{6\}$	$\{6,8\}$	$\{6,8\}$	$\{6,8,10,18\}$	$\{6,8,10,12,18,20,30\}$
$\{p_j\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\{2,3,2,2\}$	$\{2,3,2,5,2,3,2\}$
$\{k_j\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\{2,3\}$	$\{2,3,2,2\}$	$\{8,3,4,5,2,3,2\}$

References

[AH] M. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces. 1961 Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. III pp. 7–38 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.

[B] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogenes de groupes de Lie compacts, Ann. Math. 57(1953), 115-207.

[BGG] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, S. I. Gelfand, Schubert cells and cohomology of the spaces G/P, Russian Math. Surveys 28 (1973), 1-26.

[BH] A. Borel; F Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous space I, J. Amer. Math. Soc, vol 80 (1958), 458-538.

[BHa] S. Billey and M. Haiman, Schubert polynomials for the classical groups, J. AMS, 8 (no. 2)(1995), 443-482.

[BS] R. Bott and H. Samelson, The cohomology ring of G/T, Nat. Acad. Sci. 41 (7) (1955), 490-492.

[C] C. Chevalley, Sur les Décompositions Celluaires des Espaces G/B, in Algebraic groups and their generalizations: Classical methods, W. Haboush ed. Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 56 (part 1) (1994), 1-26.

[D] M. Demazure, Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion, Invent. Math. 21 (1973), 287-301.

[DZ$_1$] H. Duan and Xuezhi Zhao, Algorithm for multiplying Schubert classes, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, vol 16, No.6(2006), 1197-1210.

[DZ$_2$] H. Duan and Xuezhi Zhao, The Chow rings of generalized Grassmannians, arXiv: math.AG/0511332.

[DZ$_3$] H. Duan and Xuezhi Zhao, The cohomology of simple Lie groups, arXiv: math.AT/ 0711.2541.

[DZ_4] H. Duan and Xuezhi Zhao, Steenrod operations in the cohomology of exceptional Lie groups, arXiv: math.AT/ 0903.4501.

[DZZ] H. Duan, Xu-an Zhao and Xuezhi Zhao, The Cartan matrix and Enumerative Calculus, Journal of Symbolic computations 38, no.3 (2004), 1119-1144.

[FP] W. Fulton and P. Pragacz, Schubert Varieties and Degeneracy Loci, Lecture Notes in Math., 1689, Springer, 1998.

[Hi] H. Hiller, Geometry of Coxeter groups, Research Notes in Mathematics, 54. Boston - London - Melbourne: Pitman Advanced Publishing Program. IV, (1982).

[Hus] D. Husemoller, Fibre bundles, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 20. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.

[K] V.G. Kač, Torsion in cohomology of compact Lie groups and Chow rings of reductive algebraic groups, Invent. Math. 80(1985), 69-79.

[KK] B. Kostant and S. Kumar, The nil Hecke ring and the cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G, Adv. Math. 62(1986), 187-237.

[Ku] S. Kumar, Kač–Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory, Progress in Mathematics, 204. Birkhaüser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

[KP] V. Kač and D. Peterson, Generalized invariants of groups generalized by reflections, Progress in Mathematics, 60. Birkhaüser Boston (1985),

[M] R. Marlin, Anneaux de Chow des groupes algébriques $SU(n), Sp(n), SO(n)$, $Spin(n), G_2, F_4$; torsion, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A. 279 (1974), 119 -122.

[N] M. Nakagawa, The integral cohomology ring of E_7/T. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 41(2001), 303–321.

[T] H. Toda, On the cohomology ring of some homogeneous spaces, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 15(1975), 185–199.

[TW] H. Toda, T. Watanabe, The integral cohomology ring of F_4/T and E_6/T, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 14(1974), 257–286.

[W] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy theory*, Graduate texts in Math. 61, Springer-Verlag, 1978.